

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/07/2006

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/672,595 | 09/26/2003. | Vincent J. Tekippe | 29165.00 | 8642 | |
| 22465 7: | 590 11/07/2006 | | EXAMINER | | |
| PITTS AND BRITTIAN P C | | | PENG, CHARLIE YU | | |
| P O BOX 5129 KNOXVILLE | 5 TN 37950-1295 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| in (OII (ILLE), | 11. 5,500 1250 | | 2883 | • | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|---------------------|--|--|
| 10/672,595 | TEKIPPE, VINCENT J. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Charlie Peng | 2883 | | |

| Defere the Eiling of an Annual Priof | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|-------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Charlie Peng | 2883 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>19 October 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A | APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR | ALLOWANCE. | | | | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing | g date of the final rejection. | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | g date of the final rejecti | on. | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | | | | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | | | | |
| <u>AMENDMENTS</u> | · | | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☒ They are not deemed to place the application in below | • | ducing or simplifying | the issues for | | | |
| appeal; and/or | act form for appear by materially fo | adding or cimpinying | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding number of finally rej | ected claims. | | | | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1 | 16 and 41.33(a)). | · | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co | mpliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | · | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | llowable if submitted in a separate, | timely filed amendme | ent canceling the | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | II be entered and an e | explanation of | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | it before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affidav | otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is | ot be entered s necessary and | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ils to provide a 1). | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attacl | ned. | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu | at does NOT place the application in | n condition for allowa | nce because: | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other: | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No. 10/672,595

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Amended claims 27, 32, 38 and 39 added a limitation stating a chord defined by said optical member with a line parallel to a longitudinal axis of said second opening, which was never before presented.

Frank G. Font Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800

Frank I Fort